

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 BERN 05731 01 OF 02 061822Z
ACTION ACDA-12

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-07
L-03 NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 EA-10 AF-10
NEA-10 USIE-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00 SSO-00 /090 W
-----068928 062218Z /63

O P 061730Z DEC 77

FM AMEMBASSY BERN
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 5378
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW PRIORITY

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 2 BERN 5731

FROM AMBASSADOR WARNKE

EO 11652: GDS
TAGS: PARM, OX
SUBJ: USUSSR INDIAN OCEAN ARMS CONTROL TALKS

1. ON DECEMBER 6, US DELEGATION HEADED BY MR WARNKE MET FOR THREE HOURS WITH SOVIET INDIAN OCEAN DELEGATION HEADED BY AMBASSADOR MENDELEVICH IN THE SOVIET EMBASSY, BERN, SWITZERLAND. MENDELEVICH WAS CHAIRMAN FOR SESSION AND SPOKE FIRST.

2. KEY POINTS OF MENDELEVICH STATEMENTS AS FOLLOWS:

A. BOTH SIDES FULLY AUTHORIZED TO CONDUCT NEGOTIATIONS, DESPITE LIMITED SIZE OF DELEGATIONS.

B. INDICATING INTEREST IN MAXIMUM PROGRESS IN THIS ROUND, HE QUOTED RECENT BREZHNEV STATEMENT THAT "REACHING AN AGREEMENT ON REDUCTIONS ON MILITARY ACTIVITY IN THE INDIAN OCEAN WOULD SERVE THE INTERESTS OF PEACE."

C. IN SUMMARIZING RESULTS OF LAST ROUND, STRESSED MUTUAL DESIRE TO FOLLOW STEP-BY-STEP APPROACH RATHER THAN CONCLUDE COMPRE-
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BERN 05731 01 OF 02 061822Z

HENSIVE AGREEMENT AND THAT FIRST STAGE WOULD INVOLVE STABILIZATION. HE WAS AUTHORIZED BY SOVIET "AUTHORITIES" TO CONTINUE TALKS SEEKING AGREEMENT.

D. REFERRED TO CONSIDERABLE INTEREST SHOWN BY THIRD WORLD NATIONS, SOVIET ALLIES AND FRIENDS, MEMBERS OF AD HOC COMMITTEE IN UN, AND WESTERN STATES, INDICATING THAT HE HAD VISITED IRAN,

TANZANIA, MOZAMBIQUE AND MADAGASCAR IN ACCORDANCE WITH UNDERSTANDING THAT BOTH SIDES FREE TO PROVIDE CONFIDENTIAL BRIEFINGS TO ALLIES AND FRIENDS.

E. CLAIMED TO FIND SERIOUS CONCERN IN THOSE COUNTRIES ABOUT THE I.O. BECOMING A NEW ARENA OF THE STRATEGIC ARMS RACE AND NOTED LITTORAL STATES WOULD PREFER "RADICAL" MEASURES TO INCLUDE THE ELIMINATION OF MILITARY BASES, BUT THESE STATES COULD ACCEPT THE STEP-BY-STEP APPROACH STARTING WITH STABILIZATION.

F. HAD CAREFULLY STUDIED OUR DRAFT FROM LAST ROUND AND SOVIETS PREPARED TO "ACCEPT": 1) CONCEPT OF "POLITICAL" (I.E. GENERAL) AGREEMENT WITHOUT SPECIFIC NUMBERS AND PROVIDING FOR SEPARATE EXCHANGE OF INFO; 2) TEXT SHOULD HAVE "TO SOME EXTENT" FORM OF A MUTUAL DECLARATION BUT SHOULD NOT SAY SO IN TITLE; 3) "BASICALLY" ACCEPT STRUCTURE OF LISTING OF OBLIGATIONS WITH SERIOUS RESERVATIONS CONCERNING VAGUENESS OF OBLIGATIONS, CONFLICT WITH STABILIZATION (E.G. CONTINUED CONSTRUCTION WORK ON US BASES); NO OBLIGATION CONCERNING ALLIED FACTOR AND ADJACENT AREAS, AND LACK OF GEOGRAPHICAL DEFINITION.

G. CONSEQUENTLY SOVIET GOVT HAD PREPARED NEW DRAFT TAKING US DRAFT AS STARTING POINT IN ATTEMPT TO MOVE FORWARD AND RESOLVE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO SIDES. SOVIET DRAFT SENT SEPTTEL (BERN 5726).

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 BERN 05731 01 OF 02 061822Z

3. FOLLOWING ARE MAJOR COMMENTS MENDEL VICH OFFERED ABOUT SOVIET TEXT, KEYED TO DRAFT:

A. TITLE. STRESSES SPECIFICITY OF INITIAL AGREEMENT AND CONTINUITY OF PROCESS TOWARD REDUCTIONS. DOES NOT USE WORD "DECLARATION."

B. PREAMBLE. VERY CLOSE TO US PREAMBLE AND FIRST PARA, ARTICLE I, OF US DRAFT, BUT SOVIET DRAFT MORE SPECIFIC.

C. ARTICLE I, PARA 1. MAJOR STATEMENT OF OBLIGATIONS. FIRST PARA DEFINES FORCE LEVELS MORE PRECISELY (5 YR AVERAGE). THAN US DRAFT AND ALSO CONTAINS PROVISION ON ADJACENT AREAS. DREW ATTENTION TO GREATER SOVIET FLEXIBILITY IN LANGUAGE THAN IN PAST; WORDING REFERS ONLY TO SHIPS, NOT BASES.

D. ARTICLE I, PARA 2. STRESSED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO STRATEGIC SYSTEMS, ESPECIALLY "LAND-BASED AND SEA-BASED STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT." NOTED NO MENTION AIRCRAFT CARRIERS. POSITION IS CONSISTENT WITH CURRENT PATTERN OF OPERATIONS AND REPRESENTS NO CHANGE WITH REGARD TO STABILIZATION.

E. PARA 3 (ARTICLE I). US WORDING ON ENLARGING BASE FACILITIES IS NOT ACCEPTABLE AND CANNOT SERVE AS BASIS FOR AGREEMENT.

F. PARA 4 (ARTICLE I). WRITTEN TO AVOID AMBIGUITIES CONCERNING LIMITATIONS ON AUXILIARIES VS. COMBATANTS. REFLECTS PREVIOUS AGREEMENT ON TRANSITS.

G. ARTICLE II. TRIED TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT POSITION OF BOTH SIDES ON ALLIED FACTOR: SOVIET FORMULATION INCLUDES NO INDICATION THAT EACH SIDE WOULD HAVE TO CONTROL ITS ALLIES. US AND USSR WOULD SET EXAMPLE FOR ALLIES, BUT IF ALLIES DID NOT FOLLOW EXAMPLE THERE WAS NOTHING TO BE DONE; HOWEVER, THE OTHER PARTY MUST HAVE OPPORTUNITY TO REMEDY IMBALANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH PRINCIPLE OF EQUALITY AND EQUAL SECURITY.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 BERN 05731 01 OF 02 061822Z

H. ARTICLE III. DEVOTED TO CONTINUITY OF PROCESS. NOTED IT SPEAKS OF BEGINNING REDUCTION NEGOTIATIONS WITH 3 MONTHS.

I. ARTICLE IV. MORE SPECIFIC THAN US DRAFT ON CONSULTATIVE MECHANISM.

J. ARTICLE V. TWO DEFINITIONS: INDIAN OCEAN AND TRANSITS. BOTH POSITIONS STATED IN PREVIOUS ROUND.

K. ARTICLE VI. SIMILAR TO US DRAFT EXCEPT THAT USSR PREFERS 3 YEAR PERIOD OF AGREEMENT INSTEAD OF 5 YEARS, WHICH SEEMS UNNECESSARILY LONG TIME TO WORK OUT REDUCTION AGREEMENT. PRE-NOTIFICATION CALLS FOR 3-MONTH NOTICE.

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 BERN 05731 02 OF 02 062150Z
ACTION ACDA-12

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-07
L-03 NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 EA-10 AF-10
NEA-10 USIE-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00 SSO-00 /090 W
-----071246 062219Z /63

O P 061730Z DEC 77

FM AMEMBASSY BERN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 0000
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW PRIORITY

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 BERN 5731

FROM AMBASSADOR WARNKE

4. IN CLOSING, MENDELEVICH NOTED THAT SINCE PRESENT SESSION WOULD NOT PERMIT GETTING INTO DRAFTING, AND SINCE PREVIOUS SESSIONS TENDED TO GET CUT SHORT, HE SUGGESTED SETTING A DATE FOR BEGINNING "PERMANENT" WORK OR "CONTINUOUS" WORK. ("LET US PLAN DATE FOR RECESSES RATHER THAN MEETINGS.") HE WOULD FORESEE COMBINATION OF PLENARY SESSIONS AND WORKING SESSIONS. (IN EARLIER MEETING WITH SWISS FOREIGN MINISTER, MENDELEVICH INDICATED HE FORESAW BERN AS SITE OF FUTURE SESSIONS.)

5. MR WARNKE MADE PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO MENDELEVICH STATEMENT AS FOLLOWS:

A. ASKED ABOUT SOVIET VOTE ON IOZP, INDICATING US CONCERN ABOUT POSSIBLE SOVIET SHIFT IN BILATERAL APPROACH TO I.O. NEGOTIATIONS, BUT GRATIFIED SOVIETS STILL SHARE COMMITMENT TO BILATERAL APPROACH.

B. CITING SOVIET STATEMENT ON NEED FOR SPECIFICITY, SUGGESTED US PREFERENCE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENTS ON MILITARY ACTIVITY SIMILAR TO THAT PROVIDED IN LAST ROUND.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BERN 05731 02 OF 02 062150Z

C. STRESSED IMPORTANCE OF STEP BY STEP APPROACH, BEGINNING WITH STABILIZATION AS FIRST STEP.

6. WARNKE PROVIDED SOME INITIAL SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS ON SOVIET DRAFT AS FOLLOWS:

A. NOTED USE OF 5-YR AVERAGE IN ARTICLE I COULD BE ACCOMODATED WITHIN SUPPLEMENT TO AGREEMENT.

B. SENTENCE ON ADJACENT AREA APPEARS TO BE IN THE NATURE OF A NON-CIRCUMVENTION CLAUSE. HAVE TO CONSIDER WHETHER SUCH A CLAUSE IS EITHER NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE.

C. US WOULD WANT FURTHER CLARIFICATION ON MEANING OF "ARMED FORCES" IN ARTICLE I.

D. PARA 2 OF ARTICLE I IS INAPPROPRIATE IF IT IMPLIES REDUCTIONS SINCE WE ARE TALKING STABILIZATION. FOR STABILIZATION,

SPECIFICS SHOULD NOT APPEAR IN DECLARATION BUT BE HANDLED THROUGH SUPPLEMENTARY STATEMENTS.

E. ON PARA 2, ARTICLE I, REITERATED US CONVICTION THAT LIMITED PROGRAMMED EXPANSION OF DIEGO GARCIA NOT INCONSISTENT WITH STABILIZATION.

F. ON ARTICLE II, NOTED WORDING IN SOVIET DRAFT COULD LEAVE LARGE LOOPHOLE FOR ONE SIDE TO INCREASE AS RESULT ACTIVITIES NOT RELATED TO OTHER PARTY. SUGGESTED SUPREME INTEREST CLAUSE (ALONG US LINES WITH NON PRE-NOTIFICATION) COULD ACCOMMODATE CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH MIGHT THREATEN INTERESTS.

G. ON ARTICLE III, QUESTIONED WISDOM OF PREDICTING IN ADVANCE
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 BERN 05731 02 OF 02 062150Z

OUTCOME OF REDUCTION STAGE.

H. ON ARTICLE IV, NOTED CONSULTATIVE MECHANISM COULD BE ACCOMPLISHED BY DELEGATIONS THEMSELVES SINCE NEGOTIATIONS ON POSSIBLE MUTUAL REDUCTIONS WOULD BE CONTINUING.

I. ON ARTICLE V, WE DO NOT OBJECT TO PRINCIPLE OF INCLUDING DEFINITION OF AREA IN AGREEMENT, BUT HOLD TO PREVIOUS US POSITION ON AREA.

J. ARTICLE VI, CONSIDER 5 YEARS MORE INDICATIVE OF SERIOUSNESS OF PURPOSE OF STABILIZATION AGREEMENT.

K. NOTED ABSENCE ANY REFERENCE TO UTILIZATION OF FACILITIES NOTING US SEES DISTINCTION BETWEEN ROUTINE PORT CALLS AND OTHER FORMS OF USAGE.

7. IN REJOINDER, MENDELEVICH ASSURED US SIDE VOTE ON IOPZ SIGNALLED NO CHANGE OF SOVIET POLICY. NOTED THAT BY RELATING CRISIS ESCAPE CLAUSE TO ALLIED FACTOR, US SIDE HAD RECOGNIZED POTENTIAL THREAT OF ALLIED FACTOR. FELT THAT RELIANCE ON ESCAPE CLAUSE WOULD MAKE AGREEMENT TOO FRAGILE.

8. WARNKE REPLIED THAT ON IOPZ VOTE, US DISAGREED WITH SOVS BUT UNDERSTAND EXPLANATION. REJECTED ALLIED FACTOR AS A POLITICAL REALITY AND NOTED FRAGILITY WOULD COME FROM UNILATERAL BUILDUP IN RESPONSE TO THIRD PARTY ACTIVITY.

9. BOTH SIDES AGREED TO MEET AGAIN ON THURSDAY AND SATURDAY. NEXT MEETING WILL BE THURSDAY, DECEMBER 8, AT US EMBASSY.
WARNER

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ARMS CONTROL MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 06-Dec-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977BERN05731
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770452-1195
Format: TEL
From: BERN
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19771290/aaaacyfu.tel
Line Count: 274
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 86f4bb0b-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION ACDA
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 10-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 352566
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: USUSSR INDIAN OCEAN ARMS CONTROL TALKS
TAGS: PARM, XO
To: STATE DOD
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/86f4bb0b-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009